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ABSTRACT

Background: Pre-diabetes is a risk state for the future development of type 2 diabetes. The risk factors for prediabetes have been categorized as modifiable and non-modifiable. However, conclusive evidence regarding the risk factors associated with pre-diabetes in adolescence is still lacking. This study aims to answer the question of: which risk factors are most associated with the incidence of prediabetes in adolescents?

Methods: This review was conducted in accordance with the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses (PRISMA) guidelines. A systematic search was conducted in PubMed, ScienceDirect, Scopus and Web of Science electronic databases using the keywords of “modifiable risk factors”, “non-modifiable risk factors”, “pre-diabetes” and “adolescent” in the time frame of 2010 to 2021. Eligibility criteria were determined based on the (population, intervention, comparison and outcomes) PICOS guidelines. Reference list from identified studies was used to augment the search strategy. Two authors assessed the quality and risk of bias of the studies using National Institute of Health (NIH) Quality assessment tool. Qualitative analysis method was used to review the articles. Based on this, components such as purpose, methodology, risk factors, measurement method and main findings were extracted.

Results: As a result of the initial search, 3982 studies were obtained. All duplicates, case reports, reviews and non-English studies were excluded. A total of ten articles that met the pre-defined eligibility criteria were included in this review. The quality of included studies was mostly in the good category. Overall, the association between risk factors and adolescents’ prediabetes appeared to be strongest among modifiable factors such as physical activity, poor diet, smoking, and cardiometabolic factors. The most significant modifiable factor was body mass index (BMI) and the most significant non-modifiable factor was gender.

Conclusion: Considering the prominent role of modifiable risk factors in the occurrence of prediabetes in adolescents, it seems necessary to include lifestyle modification programs for this age group. It is also important to pay attention to gender as a non-modifiable factor in the development of prediabetes in adolescents.
1. Introduction

Prediabetes is an intermediate glycemic state in which blood glucose concentrations are higher than normal but not of a magnitude that would correspond to the diagnosis of type 2 diabetes (Consortium, 2014). The incidence of prediabetes is increasing globally and is expected to affect 472 million people by the end of 2030 (Tabák et al., 2012). Subjects with prediabetes have a profound risk of developing type 2 diabetes. If prediabetes is not treated, it accounts for 37% of cases of diabetes in succeeding years (Tuso, 2014). Prediabetes also imposes the potential burden of mortality upon the affected individuals due to its association with cardiovascular complications (Siddiqui et al., 2020). However, changes in the lifestyle can reduce or delay the appearance of diabetes among people with prediabetes (Tuomilehto et al., 2001). Thus, it is important to recognize individuals presenting with a higher probability of impaired glucose metabolism and related risk factors to reduce disease progression as well as financial burden on the health care system (Díaz-Redondo et al., 2015).

Adolescent is a stage of life between childhood and adulthood, from ages 10 to 19. This evolutionary period may be the right sequence for human progress and the necessary time to create great welfare facilities. The emerging increase in the prevalence of prediabetes in children and adolescents has paralleled the observed increase in childhood obesity over the past three decades. The recorded prevalence of prediabetes depends on the conditions and tools chosen for screening, the population studied, pubertal status, and—importantly—the definitions used. Impaired fasting glucose is defined as above 5·6 mmol/L by the American Diabetes Association (ADA) and above 6·1 mmol/L by the World Health Organization (WHO). As a result, the recorded prevalence of impaired glucose tolerance in obese children and adolescents ranges from 1% to 30%, whereas the prevalence of impaired fasting glucose has a broader range in not only obese children, but also the general adolescent population that is not specifically within at-risk groups. For example, the prevalence of impaired fasting glucose in obese children ranges from 1% in Italy (Brufani et al., 2010) and 4% in Germany, to 17% in Sweden (all with the use of ADA criteria) (Hagman et al., 2014). In the USA, reported prevalence ranges from 2%-9% (WHO criteria) to 15%-47% (ADA criteria) (Di Bonito et al., 2017). Impaired fasting glucose was reported in a small proportion (<5%) of the obese pediatric population in Mexico (Yamamoto-Kinura et al., 2006) India (Narayanappa et al., 2011) and mainland China (Yang et al., 2015) compared with 28% of obese adolescents in Taiwan (Chen et al., 2014). In the
United Arab Emirates, as one of the countries with the highest prevalence of type 2 diabetes in adults worldwide, fasting glucose is impaired in 12% of overweight and obese children (Al Amiri et al., 2015). Several challenges arise when attempting to compare and interpret these prevalence data, since the sample populations have been recruited from different settings (e.g., whole population vs obesity clinic). Additionally, in epidemiological studies involving large samples, some participants might not have truly fasted when fasting glucose is measured, causing an overestimation of the prevalence. Similarly, the presence of impaired glucose tolerance can vary across repeated sampling within a timeframe of weeks (Libman et al., 2008), possibly because whole-body insulin sensitivity can be affected by participant characteristics, such as minor infection or phases of the menstrual cycle. However, arguably, a single detection of impaired glucose tolerance, even if not repeated, already indicates that the β cells of the individual have inadequate function and pronounced insulin resistance.

Several studies have been conducted previously, to investigate the association of these risk factors with the risk of prediabetes (Hadaegh et al., 2015; Lee et al., 2015; Geva et al., 2019); however, the findings remained inconsistent. Conclusive evidence to support or refute differences in risk factor association across the wide range of studies is lacking and has never been studied systematically previously. Summarized information is needed because it may help to develop or implement age-appropriate preventive strategies for adolescents that can be used as a key to self-care to improve quality of life among prediabetic individuals. Therefore, this systematic review was conducted to briefly address and summarize the available literature for the evidence of age-specific modifiable and non-modifiable risk factors among adolescent population and answer the question: which risk factors are most associated with the incidence of prediabetes in adolescents?

2. Materials and Methods

We performed a systematic review of the literature consisting of observational or cross-sectional studies, which reported the risk factors of pre-diabetes among adolescent by following the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analysis (PRISMA) 2020 guidelines (Page et al., 2021).

Information sources and search strategy

We performed a literature search through PubMed, ScienceDirect, Scopus, and Web of Science databases in the timeframe of 2010 to 2021. A careful manual search of the reference lists of identified papers was also done by all authors to identify studies that were not detected by the database search. All the retrieved articles were then sent to Mendeley to remove duplicates. Screening of titles and abstract based on the pre-defined inclusion and exclusion criteria was done to get relevant citations that were included in the present review. Keywords used were “Modifiable Risk Factors” OR “Non-modifiable Risk Factors” OR “Factors associated” OR “Factors related” AND “Prediabetes” OR “Prediabetic” AND “Adolescent” OR “Youth” OR “Teen” OR “Young people” (Table 1).

Table 1. The syntax of searching on databases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Databases</th>
<th>Keywords</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ScienceDirect</td>
<td>Modifiable Risk Factors&quot; OR &quot;Non-Modifiable Risk Factors&quot; AND &quot;Prediabetes&quot; AND &quot;Adolescent&quot; OR &quot;Youth&quot; OR &quot;Young people&quot;. &quot;Factors associated&quot; OR &quot;Factors related&quot; AND &quot;Prediabetes&quot; AND &quot;Adolescent&quot; OR &quot;Youth&quot; OR &quot;Teen&quot; OR &quot;Young people&quot;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PubMed</td>
<td>Modifiable Risk Factors&quot; OR &quot;Non-Modifiable Risk Factors&quot; OR &quot;Factors associated&quot; OR &quot;Factors related&quot; AND &quot;Prediabetes&quot; OR &quot;Prediabetic&quot; AND &quot;Adolescent&quot; OR &quot;Youth&quot; OR &quot;Teen&quot; OR &quot;Young people&quot;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scopus</td>
<td>“Factors associated&quot; OR &quot;Factors related&quot; AND &quot;Prediabetes&quot; OR &quot;Prediabetic&quot; AND &quot;Adolescent&quot; OR &quot;Youth&quot; OR &quot;Teen&quot; OR &quot;Young people&quot; AND NOT Adult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web of Science</td>
<td>Modifiable Risk Factors&quot; OR &quot;Non-Modifiable Risk Factors&quot; OR &quot;Factors associated&quot; OR &quot;Factors related&quot; AND &quot;Prediabetes&quot; OR &quot;Prediabetic&quot; AND &quot;Adolescent&quot; OR &quot;Youth&quot; OR &quot;Teen&quot; OR &quot;Young people&quot;.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Eligibility criteria

Eligibility criteria were determined based on the (population, intervention, comparison and outcomes) PICOS guidelines. The participants consisted of adolescents in any age (10-19 years old). No intervention was needed to be considered in this review, but the laboratory tests and diagnostic procedures to identify pre-diabetes were considered as exposure. Comparison was considered as a difference in demographic variables such as age, sex, smoking, race, and family history of diabetes. The outcomes were significant modifiable and non-modifiable factors involved in occurrence of pre-diabetes. In terms of research design, cross-sectional and observational studies were considered and those published in English in the time range of 2010 to 2021 were included in the review. We excluded preprint articles, meta-analytical and systematic reviews, case reports, non-English articles, articles without pertinent data, non-research articles, and articles without full-text availability. In case of duplicate reports from the same study (Díaz-Redondo et al., 2015; Ehnhart et al., 2017), the study which had evaluated the higher number of modifiable and non-modifiable risk factors was included in this review (Díaz-Redondo et al., 2015).

Data extraction and synthesis

Two authors carried out data extraction based on study objectives, design, participants, risk factors, measurement methods, and main findings. A narrative synthesis was done considering the significant levels of the study results. Disagreement between the two reviewers was referred to a third author for final decision.

Quality assessment

The quality of included studies was assessed by using the National Institute of Health (NIH) Quality assessment tool for observational, cohort and cross-sectional studies National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI). Several reviews have previously used this tool to critically appraise the quality of reviewed studies (Bayfield et al., 2018; Uloko et al., 2018). The related checklist has 14 questions relating to the research question, selection of study subjects, statistical analysis and measurement and selection of timeframe between exposure and outcome to see an effect. The quality of studies was graded as good (G) if the overall score was at least 70%, fair (F) if the article score was at least 50%, and poor (P) if it was less than 50%. (Uloko et al., 2018). We only included studies of Fair and Good quality.

3. Results

The initial search strategy identified 3982 potentially relevant articles, of which 849 remained after removing duplicates and other reasons (Figure 1). After abstract and title screening, 815 studies were excluded because the sample used did not include adolescents. A total of 34 articles remained for full text screening, of which 10 articles were included in this review that met the pre-defined eligibility criteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studies &amp; Criteria</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>17</th>
<th>18</th>
<th>19</th>
<th>20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mamtani</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratliff</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sylvia</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casapulla</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propst</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nagarajan</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taranikanti</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al amiri</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luo</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duke</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The major characteristics of 10 studies were summarized in chronological order in Table 2. The studies included in this review came from a number of countries in various parts of the world, including the US (n=4), and one study each from Qatar, Ecuador, Indonesia, India, China, and UAE. The number of subjects who participated in the reviewed studies ranged from 131 to 125,375 adolescent. All the studies utilized cross-sectional design except one study which used retrospective study design (Propst et al., 2015).

The quality of included studies was evaluated by using appraisal tool for observational cross-sectional studies (AXIS) (Young & Solomon, 2009). This assessment tool has 20 questions and sections to record answers as “yes”, “no” or “don’t know” (Table 3). Based on the result of risk of bias assessment, all of the studies included were in the moderate to low risk of bias category.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author, Year, Country</th>
<th>Aim of the Study</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Participants</th>
<th>Risk Factors</th>
<th>Measurement Methods</th>
<th>Main Findings</th>
<th>Quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mamtani et al., 2014, Qatar</td>
<td>To investigate risk factors associated with prediabetes in students aged 11–18 at four schools</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>1694 students, aged 11-18 years old</td>
<td>Gender, family history of diabetes, daily exercise, anthropometric factors such as weight, BMI z-score, waist-to-height ratio, waist z-score, and obesity.</td>
<td>Questionnaire, WHO AnthroPlus software, the national cholesterol education program adult treatment panel (NCEP ATP), random blood sugar or a fasting blood sugar</td>
<td>Most associated factors of prediabetes including Gender (OR 3.2, P=0.0005), family history of DM (OR 1.9, P=0.025), and waist-to-height ratio (OR 1.8, P=0.016)</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratliff et al., 2019, US</td>
<td>To evaluate possible associations between LCSB and water consumption with nutrient intake and prediabetes criteria among adolescents who were free of diabetes</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>8868 adolescents 12 to 18 years of age who were free of prediabetes</td>
<td>Low-calorie sweetened beverage (LCSB), water consumption patterns</td>
<td>National health and nutrition examination survey NHANES questionnaire, what we eat in America (WWEIA), homeostasis model assessment of insulin resistance (HOMA-IR)</td>
<td>Based on the prediabetes criteria, there are no significant associations between consumption of either beverage with measures of glycemic control, water consumption was not associated with any measures of lipid metabolism</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sylvia &amp; Ardiyani, 2019, Indonesia</td>
<td>To determine the risk factors of prediabetes among senior high school students</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>Students aged 14-19 years old, male (n=53) or female (n=78) students</td>
<td>Smoking, BMI, physical activity, family history of diabetes</td>
<td>Questionnaire, BMI calculation, fasting plasma glucose level</td>
<td>Smokers have a higher risk 1.9 times of having prediabetes compared to non-smokers (P&lt;0.05). Physical activity&lt;3 times a week, tend to experience prediabetes 1.9 times compared to physical activity ≥3 times (P&lt;0.05). Low BMIs were not at risk of having Impaired Glucose Tolerance (IGT) levels of 0.9 times compared to normal BMI.</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Casapulla et al., 2017, Ecuador</td>
<td>To identify and better understand the prevalence of overweight and obesity, cardiometabolic risk factors, prevalence of type 2 diabetes, prediabetes and metabolic syndrome</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>Adolescents ages 13–18 (N=433) were recruited from two schools</td>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Tension-regulated tape, sphygmomanometer, blood glucose level, sex-specific growth charts</td>
<td>Girls had higher BMI compared to boys (P=0.001). Boys had higher systolic blood pressure SBP (P=0.003) and diastolic blood pressure DBP (P=0.05) than girls. Girls had higher total cholesterol (TC) (P=0.001), low density lipoprotein (LDL) (P=0.001), high density lipoprotein (HDL) (P=0.01), very low density lipoprotein (VLDL) (P=0.01) and triglyceride (TG) (P=0.009) than boys. There were no significant sex differences in HbA1c (P=0.32).</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author, Year, Country</td>
<td>Aim of the Study</td>
<td>Study Design</td>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>Risk Factors</td>
<td>Measurement Methods</td>
<td>Main Findings</td>
<td>Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propst et al., 2015, Alabama (US)</td>
<td>To examine the prevalence and characteristics of co-morbidities in obese and morbidly obese children with a comparison between the 2 sets of children.</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>1,111 obese children and adolescents</td>
<td>Medical history of co-morbid conditions, medication use, and cardiovascular risk markers</td>
<td>Observation forms, blood glucose, sphygmomanometer, vitros 5.1 fs chemistry system, direct coupling analysis DCA2000 assay</td>
<td>BMI was positively associated with SBP in African American (AA) subjects ($r=0.079$; $P=0.04$). BMI was inversely associated with HDL in the overall group ($r=-0.102$; $P=0.0006$) and also specifically in AA ($r=-0.08; P=0.04$) and non-hispanic white (NHW) ($r=-0.17; P=0.001$) subjects.</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nagarajan et al., 2017, US</td>
<td>To examine the association between family consumer behaviors (healthy food availability and supermarket spending) and adolescent prediabetes and diabetes</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>National health and nutrition examination survey NHANES subjects from 2007 to 2010 who were 12–19 years old and from households where an eligible person had administered the consumer behavior questionnaire (CBQ)</td>
<td>House-hold healthy food availability, and supermarket spending on food</td>
<td>Blood glucose, (CBQ)</td>
<td>Adolescents with healthier household food availability had a significant and negative odds of adolescent prediabetes/diabetes (OR=0.74). Adolescents with higher log supermarket spending had a significantly protective odds of adolescent prediabetes/diabetes (OR=0.69).</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taranikanti, et al., 2014, India</td>
<td>To see the prevalence of prediabetes if any in school students between 14-18 year of age.</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>140 students of both sexes between ages 14-18 years</td>
<td>Obesity, family history of DM, Physical activity</td>
<td>Predesigned questionnaire</td>
<td>There was no correlation between plasma glucose and BMI. Relation between prediabetes and waist-hip-Ratio (WHR) was not significant ($P&gt;0.05$).</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al Amiri et al., 2015, UEA</td>
<td>to compare the prevalence of prediabetes and type 2 diabetes (T2D) among overweight/obese children and adolescents using different diagnostic/screening methods</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>1034 participants (45% female) median age 14.7 years</td>
<td>Obesity, overweight</td>
<td>Questionnaire, blood pressure, biochemical measurements, BMI</td>
<td>No significant correlation was seen between glycemic status and level of physical activity, presence of prediabetes symptoms ($P=0.032$) Family history of diabetes, parents being unemployed and high levels of TG were independent risk factors for abnormal glycemic testing ($P&lt;0.05$) Family history of T2D first-degree relatives ($P=0.028$) and high levels of TG ($P=0.019$) were statistically correlated with abnormal glycemic testing based on fasting blood glucose</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author, Year, Country</td>
<td>Aim of the Study</td>
<td>Study Design</td>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>Risk Factors</td>
<td>Measurement Methods</td>
<td>Main Findings</td>
<td>Quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Luo et al., 2020, China</td>
<td>To evaluate whether urinary organophosphate esters (OPEs) metabolites were associated with prediabetes and glucose homeostasis</td>
<td>National survey with a multi-stage complex sampling design (Cross sectional)</td>
<td>349 adolescents (12-19-year old)</td>
<td>Urinary (OPE)</td>
<td>Urine specimen</td>
<td>bis (1,3-dichloro-2-propyl) phosphate BDCIPP was significantly associated with elevated odds of prediabetes (OR 1.49); di(2-propylheptyl) phthalate DPHP was positively associated with HbA1c (β=0.05); detectable BCIPP was negatively associated with 2 h-oral glucose tolerance test OGTT (β=-0.07) Detectable DNBP was negatively associated with Fasting plasma glucose (FPG) (β=-2.53) and 2 h-OGTT (β=-0.11)</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duke, 2020, US</td>
<td>To examine relationship between Sugar sweetened beverage SSB intake and reported prediabetes</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>125,375 students 5th, 8th, 9th, and 11th grade in regular public elementary and secondary schools, charter schools, and tribal schools</td>
<td>SSB Intake</td>
<td>Beverage intake questions</td>
<td>SSB intake frequencies were significantly associated with increased odds of reported prediabetes (OR 1.37)</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Pre-diabetes reports**

Assessment of prediabetes in the participants of included studies was carried out using Fasting Blood Glucose (Al Amiri et al., 2015; Casapulla et al., 2017; Mamtani et al., 2014; Propst et al., 2015; Nagarajan et al., 2017), glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c) (Al Amiri et al., 2015; Casapulla et al., 2017), fasting plasma glucose (Ratliff et al., 2019; Sylvia & Ardiyani, 2019; Taranikanti, Khan & Tabassum, 2014; Luo et al., 2020) and sweetened beverage (SSB) intake (Duke, 2021).

The standard criteria for prediabetes based on the measurement results of fasting blood glucose is 5.5 mmol/L (Mamtani et al., 2014). The standard percentage of HbA1c is 5.7% to 6.4% (Luo et al., 2020; Propst et al., 2015), 5.7% (39 mmol/L) (Nagarajan et al., 2017). While indications of prediabetes through Fasting plasma glucose (FPG) examination are 100-125mg/dl, and/or 2-h plasma glucose from OGTT at 140-199mg/dl (Sylvia & Ardiyani, 2019), 140 to 126 mg/dl (Taranikanti et al., 2014), 100 mg/dl and less than 125 mg/dl (Luo et al., 2020). One study did not report standard criteria for prediabetes (Casapulla et al., 2017).

**Modifiable factors**

Modifiable factors included in the reviewed studies were BMI, smoking, physical inactivity, poor diet, and cardio-metabolic factors. Some studies analyzed the physical activity of the participants which was considered to have a relationship with prediabetes. Daily exercise, when compared with less than daily exercise, had a significant beneficial effect on several key anthropometric factors such as weight (P=0.003), BMI z-score (P=0.02), waist-to-height ratio (P=0.0003), waist z-score (P=0.0004) and obesity (P=0.003) (Mamtani et al., 2014). In Indonesia, students who had physical activity <3 times a week were 1.9 times at risk of prediabetes than students who engaged in physical activity ≥3 times in a week. Although, the risk is not proven statistically (P=0.05) (Sylvia & Ardiyani, 2019). A study in US showed that adolescents with vigorous physical activity had a significant and negative odds of prediabetes/diabetes (OR=0.74) (Nagarajan et al., 2017). In UEA, no significant correlation was found between glycemic status and level of physical activity, presence of acanthosis nigricans or symptoms of diabetes, except for weight loss (P=0.032) (Al Amiri et al., 2015). In Duke’s study, it was found that for each additional day of being physically active for at least 60 min, the odds of student reported prediabetes was significantly decreased, by approximately 7%-8% (OR: 0.93-OR: 0.92) (Duke, 2021).

A study in the United States by Ratliff and colleagues concluded that consuming 1 serving/d of either low-calorie sweetened beverage (LCSB) or water is not associated with an increased risk for prediabetes (Ratliff et al., 2019). Another study found that households with high levels of healthy food access had a lower prevalence of prediabetes or diabetes in adolescents compared to those with low healthy food availability (4.05% vs 3.69%, P=0.08). However, the prevalence of adolescent prediabetes or diabetes was not significantly lower in households with high vs low supermarket spending proportion (3.77 vs 3.97%, p=0.46). The association of higher healthy food availability showed negative odds of adolescent prediabetes/diabetes (OR=0.78) (Nagarajan et al., 2017). Luo et al., in their study of analyzing the urine of adolescents found that urinary organophosphate esters (OPEs) metabolites were associated with prediabetes and indices of glucose homeostasis (Luo et al., 2020). Another study on adolescent consumption patterns stated that increasing frequency of SSB intake was significantly associated with the odds of youth reported diagnosis of prediabetes (Duke, 2021).

Duke and colleagues in the United States reported that for each additional hour of sleep, the odds of reported prediabetes were significantly reduced, by approximately 14%-15% (OR:0.86—0.85) (Duke, 2021).

Cardiometabolic factors such as obesity, hypertension, and dyslipidemia were also reported in several studies included in this review. Mamtani et al. reported that an elevated waist-to-height ratio were each independently predictive of prediabetes (P=0.03) (Mamtani et al., 2014). Meanwhile, a study in Indonesia reported that thinner students have 0.9 times at risk of having high impaired glucose tolerance levels compared to students with normal BMI (95% CI:0.3-2.4). Meanwhile, obese students had a 2.2 times higher risk of developing prediabetes than students with normal BMI (95% CI:0.7-6.8). However, it was not proven statistically (P>0.05) (Sylvia & Ardiyani, 2019). Studies in Ecuador also prove that waist circumference, BMI, as well as systolic blood pressure (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP) significantly affect the condition of prediabetes (Casapulla et al., 2017). In Alabama, morbidly obese adolescents had a higher prevalence of pre-diabetes (19.5% of obese versus 27.3% of morbidly obese; P<0.0001) and type 2 diabetes (39.8% of obese versus 52.4% of morbidly obese; P<0.0001) (Propst et al., 2015). A study in India proved that there is no significant correlation between FPG and BMI or waist to hip ratio (Taranikanti et al., 2014). Al Amiri and colleagues stated that high levels of triglycerides were independent risk factors for abnor-
Non-modifiable factors

Non-modifiable factors included in the reviewed studies were gender, race, and family history. Male gender, and a positive family history of diabetes were each independently predictive of prediabetes (Mamtani et al., 2014). The study in Indonesia found that gender and a history of DM affect the occurrence of prediabetes in students in all four public high schools. The obtained value was 0.130, which means that these variables can explain the incidence of prediabetes in high school students (Sylvia & Ardiyani, 2019). In Ecuador, gender and location were significant predictors of the six cardiometabolic risk factors such as HbA1c, TC, HDL, TG, LDL and VLDL (P<0.001) (Casapulla et al., 2017). In their analysis, Nagarajan and colleagues found that fully adjusted models for age, sex, and race had a significant and negative odds of adolescent prediabetes/diabetes (OR=0.74) (Nagarajan et al., 2017). Another study found no significant correlation between prediabetic status and family history of DM (Taranikanti et al., 2014). In the study of Liu and colleagues, the unadjusted prevalence of pre-diabetes was higher among boys than girls (P<0.001), lower among non-Hispanic whites than non-Hispanic whites (P<0.05) and Mexican Americans (P<0.05) (Liu et al., 2012). In UEA study, family history of T2D in first-degree relatives (OR 1.87 P=0.028) was statistically correlated with abnormal glycemic testing based on fasting blood glucose and 2-h post-prandial blood glucose (OGTT) (Al Amiri et al., 2015).

Modifiable risk factors of prediabetes in adolescents

Smoking was a significant risk factor of prediabetes in one study (Sylvia & Ardiyani, 2019). Previous studies show that smoking is a significant predictor of dyslipidemia, large waist circumference, and high insulin resistance (Awadalla et al., 2018; Yu et al., 2014). Pronounced frequency of smoking among men makes them more prone to its adverse metabolic effect as compared to women (Diaz-Redondo et al., 2015; Song et al., 2016). A prior experimental study have shown that cigarette smoking directly decreased insulin action and increased insulin resistance (Bergman, 2012). The higher scores on the Fagerström questionnaire—a well validated tool of nicotine dependence—were strongly associated with pre-diabetes, even after exclusion of cumulative smoking exposure from the score. These data suggest that the nicotinergic system and nicotine dependence may play a role in smoking related hyperglycemia, which is in line with a small experimental study showing that the long-term use of nicotine containing chewing gums was associated with insulin resistance and hyperinsulinemia (Haj Mouhamed et al., 2016; Yu et al., 2014). Furthermore, the majority of inhaled nicotine is catabolized into cotinine by an enzymatic activity, which is mediated by Cytochrome P450 2A6 (CYP2A6). Previous studies have shown that heavy smokers with a slow or poor metabolizer genotype were more susceptible to develop type 2 diabetes (T2D) compared to heavy smokers with a fast metabolizer phenotype (Liu et al., 2012; Tanner et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2020).

In vitro studies have shown that nicotine in the presence of palmitate enhanced the production of reactive oxygen species and impaired glucose uptake in skeletal myocytes (Bhattacharjee et al., 2016; Wang et al., 2022; Yang et al., 2015). Fetal and neonatal nicotine exposure in rats resulted in dysglycaemia, pancreatic beta cell dysfunction, increased apoptosis, and loss of beta cell mass (Clair et al., 2015; Xia et al., 2019).

According to the analysis of the reviewed studies, it seems that physical activity is one of the strongest predictors related to the occurrence of prediabetes among adolescents (Al Amiri et al., 2015; Duke, 2021; Mamtani et al., 2014; Nagarajan et al., 2017). Daily exercise, when compared with less than daily exercise, has a significant beneficial effect on several key anthropometric factors such as weight, BMI z-score, waist-to-height ratio, waist z-score and obesity which will directly affect metabolic conditions of glucose and insulin production (Kawasaki et al., 2018; Peirson et al., 2015). Individuals who lack activity tend to be obese. Obesity conditions will trigger...
an increase in insulin resistance in the muscles so that blood glucose cannot be used optimally by muscles as energy (Kahn & Jeffre, 2000; Venkatasamy et al., 2013; Wondmkun, 2020).

In the studies included in this review, poor diet patterns were mainly related to low-calorie sweetened beverages, the sugar-sweetened drinks, and energy-dense snacks such as salty snacks (Duke, 2021; Nagarajan et al., 2017; Ratliff et al., 2019). Consumption of some carbohydrate-containing beverages is linked to increased caloric intake at the expense of providing nutritive value; thus, jeopardizing consumption of appropriate ratios of carbohydrate, protein, and fat, which raises concerns about risks to healthy growth and development (Khanferyan et al., 2018). Further, drinking fruit juice and juice drinks, sports and energy drinks has been linked to excess caloric intake and inappropriate weight gain (Larson et al., 2015). Sugar sweetened beverages disrupt metabolism via multiple mechanisms, including increasing caloric load devoid of nutrients with associated weight gain and increasing the risk for the development of obesity, increasing glycemic load resulting in insulin resistance and pancreatic beta cell dysfunction, contributing to a rise in inflammatory biomarkers signaling oxidative stress, and promoting accumulation of visceral fat tissue relating to liver lipogenesis (Malik & Hu, 2022; Schiano et al., 2021; Uloko et al., 2018).

Studies included in this review have pointed to cardiometabolic factors including overweight and obesity as predictors of prediabetes (Casapulla et al., 2017; Propost et al., 2015; Taranikanti et al., 2014). The relationship between cardio-metabolic factors and the occurrence of pre-diabetes has been described in previous studies, and obesity has been mainly measured through BMI. Studies have also been conducted to analyze the relationship between BMI and prediabetes with the occurrence of diabetes (Pandey et al., 2017). Hyperglycemia has been associated with high levels of triglycerides. Improving glycemic control in individuals with moderate to severe hyperglycemia regardless of type of treatment is associated with improvement in lipid values (Arthur et al., 2016).

Non-modifiable risk factors of prediabetes in adolescents

The studies included in this review showed that there were different reports of prediabetes in various races. Nagarajan and colleagues in their study in the US tried to analyze the relationship between race and the incidence of prediabetes in adolescents, where African Americans had both lower healthy food availability and lower monthly super market spending levels, which corresponded to their higher mean HbA1C levels and prevalence of prediabetes and diabetes. However, non-Hispanic black adolescents are known to have higher HbA1C values than Mexican Americans and non-Hispanic whites; despite higher HbA1C levels in black and Hispanic adolescents compared to whites, there is no increased risk of hyperglycemia (Nagarajan et al., 2017).

A study in Qatar found that male gender is a major factor for the occurrence of prediabetes in adolescent (Mamtani et al., 2014). The same result was also obtained by Nagarajan and colleagues, where prediabetes was generally found in males compared to females (Nagarajan et al., 2017). This effect can be explained by differences in sex hormones among men and women (Kautzky-Willer et al., 2016). Estrogen generally reduces TG and LDL circulating levels, while increases HDL-C level thus, resulting in inherited cardioprotective effect in women as compared to men (Shahwan et al., 2019). The difference in body fat distribution may also explain the discrepancy in the association of dyslipidemia in prediabetic men and women. Women metabolically inclined to store fat in subcutaneous tissues rather than in abdominal region. Men, in contrast tend to store fat preferentially in visceral tissue in abdominal region. High proportion of fat as visceral adipose tissue is generally known as a significant predictor of dyslipidemia (Chang et al., 2018; Link & Reue, 2017) thus, portray male gender more prone to dyslipidemia as compared to women.

Family history of T2D also has major contribution in the risk of prediabetes in adolescent as presented in the results of the included studies (Al amiri et al., 2015; Mamtani et al., 2014; Taranikanti et al., 2014). A previous study found that family history was more associated with a perception of risk for type 2 diabetes than was genetic risk testing (Wu et al., 2017). Other cases may show no association between family history and risk of prediabetes. What can be explained is the possibility of the age of the parents who are still under 40 years old, where the manifestations of DM may not be clearly appears (Taranikanti et al., 2014).

Considering the prominent role of modifiable risk factors in the occurrence of prediabetes in adolescents, it seems necessary to include lifestyle modification programs for this age group. It is also important to pay attention to gender as a non-modifiable factor in the development of prediabetes in adolescents.
5. Conclusion

Based on a total of 10 studies reviewed, the association between risk factors and adolescents’ prediabetes appeared to be strongest among modifiable factors such as physical activity, poor diet, smoking, and cardiometabolic factors. Several non-modifiable risk factors also support the severity of prediabetes among adolescents. The most significant modifiable factor was BMI. For non-modifiable factors, the most significant was gender. This review provides a definite picture of the importance of controlling weight as a major factor in the incidence of prediabetes in adolescents, especially by gender. Conducting systematic reviews focusing on the impact of interventional studies on the control of prediabetes in adolescents as well as studies on genetic modification of the incidence of prediabetes and diabetes are suggested.

Limitations

Although a comprehensive literature search was conducted, some articles relevant to this review might have missed out. The focus of this review is limited and only grouped relevant data for adolescent in both modifiable and non-modifiable risk factors. Data was not pooled for meta-analysis owing to the heterogeneity in study design, exposure evaluated, and study outcome that limits the possibility to fully compare review findings. No attempts were made to contact the author to obtain full papers of eligible abstracts that could not be found as free full text on the web search. Finally, the search was limited to English language.
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