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**ABSTRACT**

**Background:** Internship represents the context for nursing students to acquire professional skills. The mutual relationship between the intern and the tutor, where both parties have the opportunity to grow and develop, contributes to transforming theoretical knowledge into intellectual, psychomotor, and social skills. However, the gap between education and clinical practice has always challenged education experts. This study assesses the gap between the theory learned during lectures and the applied care practice during the internship, highlighting factors opposing knowledge translation.

**Methods:** A prospective observational study was conducted in June and July 2022, involving clinical tutors (48 tutors) and third-year nursing students (107 students) recruited by convenience sampling. Both groups were administered a designed questionnaire built by the researchers, using semi-structured interviews in the related literature and adapted to the Italian context. Two Italian universities participated in the study (University of Bari and Polytechnic University of the Marche, located in Ancona, Italy). Descriptive and Inferential statistics were employed. All P<0.05 were considered statistically significant. The data were analyzed using Jamovi software, version 2.3.18 and Microsoft Office Excel software.

**Results:** A significant disparity was found between the learned theory and practical care in a hospital setting, with 86.9% of students compared to 60.4% of tutors acknowledging this gap (P<0.0001). In contrast to 60.4% of tutors, 87.9% of students (P<0.0001) declared that theoretical elements were sometimes incomprehensible when applied to practice. Consequently, 78.5% of learners, as opposed to 25.0% of tutors, had to adapt their care behaviors to the methods used in the wards where they were on services (P<0.0001).

**Conclusions:** The study explored some possible reasons underlying the dichotomy between theory and practice, both from the perspective of students and tutors. Both groups perceived the presence of a theory-practice gap but with different implications. While tutors are willing to explain, students express difficulties in expressing doubts regarding the gap. Addressing the theory-practice gap, particularly during the mentoring period, could be a possible solution to bridge the gap. Discussions during the mentoring period would be helpful. These discussion moments are pedagogical, as they could stimulate reflection in students and develop critical thinking.
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Introduction

An internship provides a privileged context for nursing students to obtain professional competencies and an opportunity to translate knowledge into practice that contributes to transforming theoretical understanding into intellectual, psychomotor and social skills (Ahmari Tehran et al., 2021). The concept of mentoring has existed for many years. Still, in recent decades, it has gained significance in healthcare education and professional training, increasingly understood as a bilateral relationship between the intern and the educator, where both parties have the opportunity to grow and develop (Burgess et al., 2018; La Sala et al., 2021).

Integrating theoretical content with practical application through internships often presents significant challenges, primarily from behavioral, attitudinal, environmental and organizational factors (Ngozika Ugwu et al., 2023). This issue underscores the importance of mentorship, which should be seen as a competent process of transmitting procedural, experiential and social know-how (La Sala et al., 2021).

The gap between education and clinical practice understood as the disparity between idealized practice and common practice or the difference between general principles taught and their application in specific situations, has always been a challenge for education experts (Shoghi et al., 2019). Several international studies conducted in the United States, the United Kingdom, Australia and Canada suggest that one possible reason behind this phenomenon is the lack of a structured and defined connection between clinical contexts and nursing education, as well as between instructors and nurses (Vosoughi et al., 2022).

This gap can negatively impact patient safety, influencing the quality of care, care outcomes and students’ educational experiences, leading to dissatisfaction (Saifan et al., 2018). Newly graduated nurses are at risk of experiencing the so-called “transition shock,” which leads them to conclude that a portion of their education has been futile and that following traditional routines is the best choice (Safazadeh et al., 2018).

Highlights

• Nursing students struggle to apply theoretical nursing knowledge in real clinical settings and there is a perceived gap between classroom learning and practical experience.

• Most third-year nursing students perceived a significant disparity between theoretical education and the clinical practice observed during internships.

• Over 70% of students faced difficulty aligning concepts learned in theory with experiences during clinical internships, potentially due to a generational gap and a conservative approach as experience increases.

• A notable communication challenge exists between students and tutors regarding integrating theory and practice.

• Around 33% of students expressed disagreement with tutors’ explanations, possibly influenced by gender dynamics.

• Despite challenges, there is a positive convergence in responses regarding tutors’ willingness to explore and implement students’ scientific and clinical innovations during internships, indicating the potential for collaborative efforts between tutors and students.

Plain Language Summary

This study investigates nursing students’ challenges in applying theory to clinical practice. Many third-year students found a significant gap between classroom learning and internships, struggling to adjust concepts to real healthcare situations. Communication gaps, resource shortages and organizational challenges contributed to the difficulty. Bridging this gap is crucial for future nurses and patient care. Identifying challenges opens an opportunity for collaboration among educators, practitioners, and policymakers to enhance nursing students’ transitions to practice and improve healthcare quality.
The relationship between knowledge and practice is vital to support clinical decision-making and the development of the nursing profession. One key aspect of nursing education is how to motivate students to learn effectively and desirably apply their knowledge in clinical practice (Shoghi et al., 2019).

Some student experiences indicate that using valid and updated knowledge acquired by learners from their peers in educational settings is sometimes met with resistance from clinical hospital staff. This condition seems to be due to various reasons, such as lack of time (Hashemiparast et al., 2019), heavy workloads, a routine and monotonous approach to care and a stressful clinical learning environment (Salifu et al., 2019).

The process of constructing professional identity occurs in relation to a reference group of other professionals, and this process takes place throughout one’s personal experience, starting from education and internships (Arreciado Marañón & Isla Pera, 2015). So, nursing mentors must create a knowledge channel that facilitates the sharing, transfer, and implementation of evidence-based guidelines.

To date, no study in the Italian context has compared the perceptions of nursing students and clinical mentors regarding the theory-practice gap phenomenon. Through an observational investigation, this study examines whether and how nursing students attending the bachelor of science (BS) in Nursing program and clinical internship tutors perceive a gap between the theory taught during lectures and the practical care provided during internships. The study also evaluates any factors that are opposing knowledge translation.

Materials and Methods

Study design, setting and sample

This research is an observational, cross-sectional study. The investigation includes the nursing BS programs at two Italian Universities (the University of Bari and the Polytechnic University of the Marche located in Ancona, Italy). Requests for participation in the study were sent to the responsible for didactic activities of the nursing BS degree programs. A questionnaire was prepared using Google Forms and distributed to participants through the program secretariats in a digital format. Responses were collected between June 1 and July 31, 2022. Participation in the study was voluntary, and the eligible samples were recruited using convenience sampling. They included all students enrolled in the third year of the nursing BS degree program or those who had completed it (n=107) and nurses currently serving as internship mentors (n=48).

Measurement and data collection

The research instrument is a customized questionnaire. The questions were designed to explore conceptual areas (pedagogical deficits, relational deficits) previously investigated in other semi-structured interviews from existing literature and adapted to the Italian context (Factor et al., 2017; Hashemiparast et al., 2019). The questionnaire consisted of two sections, one for students and one for internship tutors, each containing 7 items customized differently for the two participant groups. Participants are asked to respond by selecting the option that best reflects their perception and expressing their degree of agreement on a 4-point Likert scale, ranging from totally disagree=1 to totally agree=4.

For students, the questions were supposed to assess the perceived theory-practice gap, whether the student can identify the observed discrepancy, how they feel about it, whether they need to adapt to the context despite being aware of acting differently from theory and whether there has been interest and willingness to engage in discussions with the tutor. Complementary questions were posed to tutors, examining whether students were free in their perception to report the theory-practice gap and whether they provided satisfactory explanations in the presence of a discrepancy. The questionnaire also investigates the potential initiation of communication problems and the perceived necessity for students to adapt their behaviors to their context.

The questionnaire is further integrated with sociodemographic questions, including gender and age for students and tutors. Additionally, tutors were asked about their educational qualifications, years of work experience, and current working environment. The questionnaire demonstrated good internal consistency (α=0.752). The tool was evaluated by experts from the faculty and educational tutors of the Nursing degree course. After suggesting some modifications, the experts approved the tool.

Data analysis

The analysis was carried out using Jamovi software, version 2.3.18 and Microsoft Office Excel software. Descriptive statistics calculations were performed with a 95% confidence interval (CI). P were determined using the chi-square test for nominal and the t-test for ordinal variables. Correlations were calculated using the
Spearman coefficient, and internal consistency was determined with the Cronbach α.

Results

The overall sample consisted of 107 students (69.0%) (group 1), including 83 women (77.5%) and 24 men (22.5%), with an mean age of 24.4±4.60 years, 48 (31.0%) clinical tutors (Group 2), including 34 women (77.0%) and 11 men (23.0%) with an mean age of 48.4±7.14 years. Regarding clinical tutors’ qualifications, 33.3% (n=16) held a regional diploma, 14.6% (n=7) had a BS, 22.9% (n=11) held a BS with post-basic training, 10.4% (n=5) held a master of science (MS), and 18.8% (n=9) had a MS with post-basic training. The working areas of clinical tutors were as follows: 20.8% (n=10) in surgical wards, 24.9% (n=12) in medical-specialized wards, 14.6% (n=7) in community hospitals, 12.5% (n=6) in critical care, 10.4% (n=5) in operating rooms, 8.3% (n=4) in medical wards, 6.3% (n=3) in outpatient clinics and 2.1% (n=1) in radiology and intensive care unit. Years of experience were classified, with 43.8% (n=21) having 16 to 25 years of experience and the same percentage having over 25 years of experience. Additionally, 12.5% (n=6) had work experience ranging from 1 to 15 years.

Significant differences were observed between the two groups for all items in the instrument (P<0.0001) (Table 1).

Parametric calculation using the t-test with the summation of Likert scores from all items in both groups confirmed a substantial difference between group 1 (Mean±SD=16.3±2.90; median=16.0) and group 2 (Mean±SD=20.7±2.37; median=20.5) (P<0.001).

In the group of tutors, when relating the independent variables (graduation, years of experience) to the dependent variables, it is evident that questions 1 and 4 appear to be significantly influenced by the professionals’ training and their experience with nursing students placed in their organizations (P=0.015 and P=0.026, respectively). The years of service did not significantly affect the an-

---

Table 1. Agreement values between tutors and students regarding the questionnaire items

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items. G₁: Students, G₂: Tutors</th>
<th>No. (%)</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G₁: During internship experiences, I perceived a gap between the theory learned during classes and the applied nursing practice; G₂: I perceive a gap between the theory described by the student interns and everyday clinical practice.</td>
<td>93(86.9) 29(60.4)</td>
<td>13.88</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G₁: During internship experiences, I felt free to report a divergence between the learned theory and clinical practice; G₂: During internship experiences, the student may feel free to report a divergence between the learned theory and clinical practice.</td>
<td>71(66.4) 46(95.8)</td>
<td>15.55</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G₁: During internship experiences, I received clear and satisfactory explanations regarding discrepancies between the learned theory and nursing practice; G₂: In case of discrepancies between the theory expressed by the student and everyday practice, I provide clear and satisfactory explanations regarding the discrepancies.</td>
<td>72(67.3) 47(97.9)</td>
<td>17.43</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G₁: The theoretical elements I learned were described during the internship as sometimes incompatible with everyday clinical practice for various reasons (lack of time, organizational reasons, etc.); G₂: The theoretical elements learned by students in the Nursing Program are sometimes incompatible with everyday clinical practice for various reasons (lack of time, organizational reasons, etc.).</td>
<td>94(87.9) 29(60.4)</td>
<td>15.22</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G₁: I felt challenged during the internship when practical nursing applications deviated from the learned theory; G₂: Situations of relational criticality arose during the internship when practical nursing application deviated from the theory learned by the student.</td>
<td>75(70.1) 14(29.2)</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G₁: During the internship, I adapted my nursing behaviors to the methods used in the Operational Unit, even when I was aware they were not fully aligned with the theory; G₂: It’s important for the student, during the internship, to adapt their nursing behaviors to the methods used in the Operational Unit, regardless of the theoretical information received in the Nursing Program.</td>
<td>84(78.5) 12(25.0)</td>
<td>40.23</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G₁: Tutors demonstrated willingness and interest in further exploring and potentially implementing scientific and nursing innovations that I learned during education and conveyed during the internship; G₂: I am available and interested in further exploring and potentially implementing scientific and nursing innovations that the student describes during the internship.</td>
<td>69(64.5) 47(97.9)</td>
<td>19.66</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G₁: Nursing students, G₂: Clinical tutors, **P≤0.01.
swer to question number 7 in the group of tutors (Table 2). No relationship was found between the tutors’ agreement with the theory-practice gap and their experience in clinical training.

In the Spearman correlation matrix (Table 3), item 1 positively correlates with questions 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7. Item 7, which pertains to the tutor’s willingness to explore and implement new scientific and clinical developments in internship practice, correlates with all the questions in the questionnaire.

Discussion

Evidence suggests that students in clinical learning settings often struggle to match the theoretical content learned in the classroom with what they perform in practice (Hashemiparast et al., 2019). Meanwhile, the study by Factor et al. (2017) indicates that when students encounter clinical situations, they find it challenging to generalize and apply the nursing knowledge and theories they have learned. This condition arises because they discover discrepancies between what was learned in the classroom and how skills are carried out in the real-world context. We found that most third-year nursing students perceive a substantial gap between the theoretical education received and the clinical practice observed during internship periods. This perception was consistently confirmed irrespective of the age and gender of the participants. Even more cautiously, the same perception is significantly observed in the responses of internship tutors, regardless of their working experience. Evidence-based practice is crucial for university-level nursing education and graduates, serving as a way for the nursing discipline to minimize the gap between theory and practice (Mackey & Bassendowski, 2017).

This result is confirmed when over 70% of students express difficulty, perhaps also operational, aligning the concepts learned during theoretical education or labora-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>No. (%)</th>
<th>χ²</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>16(100)</td>
<td>7(100)</td>
<td>11(100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>16(100)</td>
<td>7(100)</td>
<td>11(100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7(43.8)</td>
<td>7(100)</td>
<td>7(63.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5(31.3)</td>
<td>3(42.9)</td>
<td>1(9.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5(31.3)</td>
<td>3(42.9)</td>
<td>1(9.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>16(100)</td>
<td>6(85.7)</td>
<td>11(100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*P≤0.05.
Adaptive behavior to the practices of the clinical unit during their internships. Despite being aware of deviating from learned protocols, procedures and guidelines, students adapt to the unit’s methods. This sentiment is shared by nearly all tutors and 64.5% of students. The results of a study by Kaphagawani and Useh (2018) also confirmed this tendency, stating that tutors are not only eager to teach but are always available for students despite their growing workload.

The conducted study presents some limitations. Firstly, the number of participants in the survey, mainly clinical tutors, is limited. The second limitation is the lack of psychometrics of the instrument used. However, the instrument’s internal consistency has been confirmed and validated by experienced individuals. The cross-sectional nature of the study does not allow for causal conclusions. Despite these limitations, the study provides valuable insight into the current relevance of this topic within the clinical university environment, highlighting the need for active efforts from both sides to address the issue.

Conclusion

This study explored some possible motivations underlying the dichotomy between theory and practice in the clinical university context from the perspective of students and internship tutors. Addressing the issue of the theory-practice gap, particularly during the tutoring period, could represent one of the possible solutions to bridge the gap. The structured discussion moments during the mentoring period might prove beneficial. For instance, scheduling meetings among tutors, students, and course coordinators, especially in the early stages of the internship, could facilitate students’ inclination to express themselves. In any case, these critical moments could serve as a helpful tool for students to reflect on their knowledge and develop critical thinking. This investigation has provided further insights into the subject’s literature while raising additional questions. It would be advisable to maintain a high level of attention to the topic by conducting further studies that explore, through different inquiries, additional variables underlying the phenomenon.
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