

Research Paper



Effect of Chest Compression Feedback in Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation Procedures using a Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation Meter on Return of Spontaneous Circulation

Alaa Jawad Kadhimi¹, Alice Khachian², Mina Abolfazli³, Ali Khosravi Zad⁴, Zahra Hezbiyan⁵, Reza Norouzadeh⁶, Bahman Aghaie^{7*}

1. Department of Adult Nursing, College of Nursing, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq.

2. Department of Medical Surgical Nursing, Nursing and Midwifery Care Research Center, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

3. Alborz Center for the Study and Development of Medical Education, Vice Chancellor for Education, Alborz University of Medical Sciences, Karaj, Iran.

4. Department of Medical Emergencies and Health in Disasters and Emergencies, Ilam University of Medical Sciences, Ilam, Iran.

5. Deputy of Education, Qom University of Medical Sciences, Qom, Iran.

6. Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, Shahed University, Tehran, Iran.

7. Department of Critical Care Nursing, Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, Qom University of Medical Sciences, Qom, Iran.



Citation Kadhimi, A. J., Khachian, A., Abolfazli, M., Khosravi Zad, A., Hezbiyan, Z., & Norouzadeh, R., et al., 2026. Effect of Chest Compression Feedback in Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation Procedures using a Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation Meter on Return of Spontaneous Circulation. *Journal of Client-Centered Nursing Care*, 12(2), pp. 147-154. <https://doi.org/10.32598/JCCNC.12.2.988.1>

doi <https://doi.org/10.32598/JCCNC.12.2.988.1>

Article info:

Received: 07 Mar 2025

Revised: 29 Sep 2025

Accepted: 15 Oct 2025

Keywords:

Cardiopulmonary resuscitation feedback, Return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC), CPR meter, Intensive care unit (ICU), Randomized clinical trial

ABSTRACT

Background: Management of patients who require cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) is one of the most critical tasks that nurses perform in the intensive care units (ICUs). Improving the quality of CPR can be very important in improving cardiopulmonary outcomes and survival. However, often the depth, release, and rate of chest compressions are controlled subjectively by the nurse. This study aimed to investigate the effect of chest compression feedback (CCF) by nurses using a CPR meter on the return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC) in patients undergoing CPR admitted to the intensive care units.

Methods: This single-blinded, two-arm parallel randomized clinical trial was conducted on 70 patients undergoing CPR who were admitted to the intensive care unit of the Iraqi-Korean Specialized Intensive Care Hospital in Baghdad, Iraq. The patients were randomly allocated to the experimental and control groups (35 subjects per group). Feedback was given to the nurses in the experimental group by a CPR meter as soon as CPR began. Patients in the control group received routine CPR. To assess the key indicators of ROSC, including blood pressure, pulse, and end-tidal carbon dioxide (ETCO₂), we recorded ROSC rates at 5, 10, 15, 20, and 30 minutes following CPR. The measurements and data collection were conducted according to the Utstein style guidelines. Subsequently, data on sustained ROSC, blood pressure, pulse, and ETCO₂ were analyzed to ensure accuracy and comparability based on these guidelines. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 24. Independent t-tests, chi-square tests, and repeated measures ANOVA were employed to analyze the data. The significance level was set at P<0.05.

Results: The percentages of spontaneous blood flow return after 30 minutes of resuscitation were 17.55% and 14.35% in the experimental and control groups, respectively. Within-group comparisons showed significant differences in blood pressure, pulse, and ETCO₂ in both groups during the time interval from five to 30 minutes after the start of CPR. Between-group comparison showed significant differences in patients' blood pressure and pulse at all time intervals, except for the first five minutes. The difference in ETCO₂ was only significant after 10 and 30 minutes of CPR.

Conclusion: Providing feedback to nurses by CPR meters provides an effective method to increase the quality of CPR and return of spontaneous circulation of patients.

* Corresponding Author:

Bahman Aghaie, Associate Professor.

Address: Department of Critical Care Nursing, Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, Qom University of Medical Sciences, Qom, Iran.

Tel: +98 (25) 328 540 11

E-mail: bahman.aghai@gmail.com



Copyright © 2026 The Author(s);

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC-BY-NC 4.0: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/legalcode.en>), which permits use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

Highlights

- The percentage of ROSC after 30 minutes of resuscitation was higher in the intervention group (17.55%) compared to the control group (14.35%).
- Using a CPR meter provided a significant improvement in systolic blood pressure and pulse rate from 10 minutes after the start of CPR.
- Using a CPR meter significantly improved ETCO₂ levels at 10 and 30 minutes after the start of CPR.
- Providing feedback to nurses by CPR meters provides an effective method to increase the quality of CPR and return of spontaneous circulation of patients.

Plain Language Summary

Cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) is a vital medical procedure used to help restore cardiac function. Our study aimed to investigate whether providing continuous feedback on chest compressions to nurses, using a CPR meter, could improve the quality of resuscitation and a better return of spontaneous circulation (ROSC) in patients admitted to the ICU. Our findings showed that using a CPR meter improves the quality of chest compressions and provides a higher rate of ROSC, which can be an effective way to improve outcomes for patients undergoing CPR.

Introduction

Cardiac arrest (CA) is a medical emergency that can lead to death if not treated immediately. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) is a lifesaving measure that improves survival rates after CA (Vural et al., 2017). Patients admitted to the intensive care units (ICUs) are at risk of CA due to their critical clinical conditions (Katircioglu et al., 2023). The incidence of ICU-CPR is approximately 40 in 1,000 admissions overall and approximately 10 in 1,000 admissions after the day of ICU admission (Zajic et al., 2022).

Patient monitoring and invasive and semi-invasive treatments are performed in the ICU (Marshall et al., 2017). Due to the breadth of services they provide, ICUs can be likened to small hospitals within larger hospitals that deliver life-saving care to patients in critical condition. This life-saving care results from the interaction among healthcare providers, patients, and medical equipment (Vaillancourt et al., 2011). The ICU is known as the performance control bottleneck in the hospital, and compliance with standards in this unit is of utmost importance. ICU nurses perform many important tasks, one of the most important of which is the management of patients with CA (Seethala et al., 2010).

CA is defined as the cessation of the heart's pumping function, resulting in the cessation of cardiac output.

Following CA, the patient loses consciousness after 15 seconds and breathing stops after 30–60 seconds, and a decreased level of consciousness along with loss of pulse and blood pressure occurs immediately after CA (Morley, 2007).

CPR is one of the most important in-hospital interventions to save the lives of patients who have suffered cardiopulmonary arrest (Elshal et al., 2021). It is a set of measures that, following cardiopulmonary arrest, attempts to artificially restore blood circulation and respiration until the patient's spontaneous blood flow returns. This set of measures is known as basic and advanced cardiac life support (Kim et al., 2020).

In the United States, approximately 2.2 million people require CPR annually, of which approximately 350,000 undergo CPR, many of whom die and many others suffer irreversible damage (Anderson et al., 2014). The survival and discharge rate after cardiopulmonary arrest in the United States has been reported to be 16.5% (Go et al., 2013).

The quality of CPR plays a critical role in improving CPR outcomes and patient survival. Chest compressions are the most important factor in improving the quality of CPR. Chest compressions need to be performed at the correct depth (5–6 cm) and rate (100–120 per minute) (Singh et al., 2022; Jaber et al., 2011). Therefore, numerous efforts have been made to ensure the effectiveness of chest compressions (Xu et al., 2021; Jung et al., 2022). Chest compressions

sions are the most important element of CPR. Coronary and cerebral blood pressure and ROSC are maximized when quality chest compressions are performed (Savastano et al., 2021). All efforts to provide quality CPR should take precedence over any advanced procedures, such as endotracheal intubation or vascular access (Peberdy et al., 2009). The quality of chest compressions is the most important intervention affecting outcomes. During CA, the quality of chest compressions can be assessed using a variety of methods that are commonly available in hospitals (Morley, 2007). For example, a CPR meter can be placed between the rescuer's hand and the patient's chest to check the rescuer's chest compression performance for correct depth and rate, increasing the number of correct chest compressions (Kim et al., 2020; Picard et al., 2021). The CPR meter, a compact hand-held device developed by Laerdal Medical, has been designed to provide real-time feedback on the quality of chest compressions during CPR. The device measures key parameters, including a compression rate between 100–120 compressions per minute ($\pm 3/\text{min}$), a compression depth of at least 50 mm ($\pm 10\%$), and chest recoil with a release force of less than 2.5 kg. Its dimensions are approximately 154×64×28 mm, allowing easy placement on the patient's chest during CPR efforts (Bul  on et al., 2016).

Some studies have explored the impact of CPR feedback devices on resuscitation outcomes. A recent systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials conducted by Lin et al. found that the use of CPR feedback devices in resuscitation training significantly improved the depth and rate of chest compressions (Lin et al., 2025; Pothitakis et al., 2011). Furthermore, a study by Bul  on et al. using a CPR meter in a simulation setting showed a significant improvement in chest compression quality, suggesting its potential to enhance rescuer performance (Bul  on et al., 2013).

While the use of CPR feedback devices has been widely studied, with some research suggesting a positive impact on resuscitation quality and ROSC, other systematic reviews have reported conflicting results or a lack of significant effect on patient outcomes. For instance, in a systematic review and meta-analysis by Wang et al., the pooled results did not confirm the positive effects of the CPR feedback device, meaning that despite improvements in chest compression quality, there was no conclusive evidence of a positive effect of feedback devices on patient survival and neurological outcomes (Wang et al., 2020). This inconsistency in the literature highlights the ongoing need for further research, especially considering the variability in healthcare systems and patient populations across different regions.

Therefore, this study aimed to determine the effect of real-time CPR feedback using a CPR meter on ROSC in critically ill patients admitted to the ICU.

Materials and Methods

Design, setting, and sample

This single-blinded, two-arm parallel randomized clinical trial was conducted from January 2023 to October 2024 at the Iraqi-Korean Critical Care Specialty Hospital in Baghdad, Iraq.

Participants were patients requiring CPR admitted to the ICU. Inclusion criteria included patients between 18 and 80 years of age with CA of non-traumatic origin. Patients who died or whose resuscitation was terminated by the resuscitation team were excluded from the study.

Based on the results of Krikscionaitiene et al. (2013), and given a type I error probability of 0.05 and a power of 0.8, the sample size was determined to be 35 patients in each group. To eliminate the effect of the resuscitator's role, an attempt was made to include nurses in a fixed ICU where they do not usually move to other wards. Also, since this was done across different shifts and patients were randomly assigned to groups, this effect was partially controlled. The recruitment process is shown in Figure 1.

Patients were initially recruited from the ICU, based on the inclusion criteria. To ensure a robust randomization process and minimize selection bias, a block randomization design with variable block sizes (e.g. 4 and 6) was employed to randomly assign patients to either the intervention or control groups using the Sealed Envelope website. This method helps maintain a balanced number of participants in each group throughout the study. Selection bias may be further reduced by using random block sizes and keeping the investigator blind to the size of each block (Efird, 2011).

Intervention

The study objectives were first explained to the participants' families and an informed consent was received by each of them. Then, a checklist of demographic information of eligible patients admitted to the ICU was completed. The experimental group underwent CPR using the relevant device, and the control group received routine care without the use of the feedback device.




Figure 1. CONSORT diagram of the study process

Client-Centered Nursing Care

ROSC components were measured and evaluated in these patients five times during CPR (5, 10, 15, 20, and 30 minutes after the start of CPR). ROSC refers to the rate of pulse, blood pressure, and ETCO₂ (at the beginning of cardiac resuscitation, a capnograph was connected to the patient's endotracheal tube by the nurse, and the assigned nurse recorded the patient's ETCO₂ levels).

Data collection

The primary data collection tools used in this study included a CPR meter for the intervention group and a researcher-developed Utstein guideline checklist for recording ROSC key components (blood pressure, pulse, and ETCO₂) in both groups. The Utstein style guidelines, developed by [International Liaison Committee on Resuscitation \(ILCOR\)](#), standardized reporting of CA and CPR outcomes ([Nolan et al., 2019](#)).

To ensure the validity of the checklist, its content was reviewed and approved by a panel of experts in nursing

and emergency medicine at [Shahed University](#), Tehran, Iran. The panel evaluated the checklist's items for relevance, clarity, and comprehensiveness. Additionally, the first author trained the assigned nurses to use the checklist and CPR meter to standardize data collection procedures. The inter-rater reliability of the checklist was also assessed among the researchers, yielding a high degree of agreement (Kappa coefficient 0.6-0.8).

Data analysis

SPSS 24 was used for data analyses. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to examine the normal distribution of quantitative variables. An independent t-test was used to compare quantitative variables (e.g. age, CPR time) between the two groups, and a chi-square test was used to compare qualitative variables (e.g. gender, underlying disease, heart rhythm when CPR is started, and work shift) between the two groups. To compare the percentage of ROSC between the two groups, the chi-square test was also performed. Additionally, a

repeated measures ANOVA was used to examine the mean changes in ROSC components, including systolic blood pressure, pulse rate, and ETCO₂, across the intervention period (at 5, 10, 15, 20, and 30 minutes after the start of CPR). At this stage, the analyst was blinded to the data belonging to the intervention and control groups.

Results

Comparison of demographic and clinical characteristics of the experimental and control groups are summarized in Table 1. The mean age of the patients in the intervention and control groups was 59.35±6.14 and 58.1±6.09 years, respectively. Statistical analysis revealed no significant difference between the two groups regarding demographic and clinical characteristics ($P>0.05$). The results of this study showed that the percentage of patients achieving sustained ROSC after 30 minutes of resuscitation was higher in the intervention group (17.55%) compared to the control

group (14.35%), indicating that a better outcome was achieved in the intervention group.

Within-group comparison showed a significant difference in the blood pressure, pulse rate, and ETCO₂ in both groups at the time interval of five minutes to 30 minutes after the start of CPR. Between-group comparison showed that systolic blood pressure was not significantly different between the intervention and control groups at five minutes ($P=0.09$); however, a significant difference was observed at minutes 10 ($P=0.032$), 15 ($P=0.017$), 20 ($P=0.005$), and 30 ($P=0.001$). Pulse rate was not significantly different at 5 minute ($P=0.12$), but a significant difference was found between the groups at minutes 10 ($P=0.035$), 20 ($P=0.042$), 20 ($P=0.012$), and 30 ($P=0.001$). ETCO₂ was not significantly different between the groups at minutes 5 ($P=0.68$), 15 ($P=0.95$), and 20 ($P=0.88$). However, a significant difference was noted at minutes 10 ($P=0.047$) and 30 ($P=0.001$) (Table 2).

Table 1. Personal characteristics of the intervention and control groups

Groups		Mean±SD/ No. (%)		Test Results
		Groups		
		Intervention	Control	
Variables				
Age (y)		59.35±6.14	58.1±6.09	t=1.319, P=0.19
CPR time		28.24±3.15	28.94±3.21	t=1.302, df=118, P=0.195
Gender	Male	19(54.3)	18(51.4)	χ² =0.057, df=1, P=0.811
	Female	16(45.7)	17(48.6)	
Medical conditions*	Cardiac	14(40)	13(37.1)	χ²=3.713, df=1, P=0.085
	Non-cardiac	21(60)	22(62.9)	
Heart rhythm when CPR is started	PEA	7(20)	6(17.1)	χ² =0.576, df=3, P=0.90
	VF	13(37.1)	13(37.1)	
	Pulseless VT	7(20)	7(20)	
	Asystole	8(22.9)	9(25.7)	
Shift	Morning	9(25.7)	9(25.7)	χ² =1.222, df=1, P=0.350
	Evening	10(28.6)	11(31.4)	
	Night	16(45.7)	15(42.9)	

Client-Centered Nursing Care

Abbreviations: PEA: Pulseless electrical activity; VF: Ventricular fibrillation; Pulseless VT: Pulseless ventricular tachycardia.

*Any condition other than the present illness.

Table 2. Within- and between-group comparisons of mean ROSC at 5, 10, 15, 20, and 30 minutes after the start of resuscitation

Indicator	Group	5	10	15	20	30	P*
Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)	Intervention	7.05±0.6	7.35±0.98	8.75±1.15	9.25±1.27	9.85±01.35	0.032
	Control	6.85±0.55	6.87±0.56	7.25±0.88	7.85±0.93	8.25±1.08	0.04
	P**	0.09	0.032	0.017	0.005	0.001	
Pulse rate	Intervention	47±6	53±6.9	58.7±7.2	68.54±8.45	74±8.75	0.001
	Control	48±5.85	46±5.44	54±6.5	56±6.8	58±35	0.002
	P**	0.12	0.035	0.042	0.012	0.001	
ETCO2 (mm Hg)	Intervention	10.2 ±1.25	14.25±3.2	17.55±4.75	27.85±4.85	38±6.35	0.023
	Control	10.55±1.45	12.75±3.65	17.25±4.83	26.85±4.55	35±5.85	
	P**	0.68	0.047	0.95	0.88	0.001	0.0023

*Repeated measures ANOVA, **Independent t-test.

Client-Centered Nursing Care

Note: Numbers in this table are for patients for whom these variables were measurable. If they were zero and not measurable, they were not calculated.

Discussion

This study aimed to evaluate the effect of CPR feedback to nurses using a CPR meter on ROSC in patients undergoing CPR admitted to the ICU. According to the findings of the present study, 30 minutes after the start of CPR, ROSC in patients undergoing CPR in the intervention group was superior to that in the control group, as indicated by higher systolic blood pressure and ETCO2 levels.

Effective chest compression remains the cornerstone of successful CPR and is vital for survival and good neurological recovery (Kovacs et al., 2015). As such, the quality of chest compression remains a focal point of international guidelines (Ayala et al., 2014).

Both compression rate and depth are critically important; compression rates below 75 or above 125 compressions per minute are associated with a decreased likelihood of ROSC, which indicates a poorer outcome. Moreover, the use of proper compression force and depth is essential to minimize CPR-associated injuries (Kovacs et al., 2015).

Several CPR adjunct devices have been developed to improve the consistency and quality of chest compressions (Miller et al., 2014; Pozner et al., 2011). As an example and consistent with the results of the present study, another study showed that the use of the Cardio First Angel™ CPR feedback device improved the adherence to published CPR guidelines and CPR quality, and was as-

sociated with increased rates of ROSC (Vahedian-Azimi et al., 2016).

A study by Lampe et al. at Northwell Health established a time-dependent function correlating carotid blood flow during CPR with the response to varying chest compressions. The aim of this study was to optimize CPR parameters in real time and thus maximize carotid blood flow, by predicting the carotid blood flow generated by subsequent chest compressions (Lampe et al., 2020).

Currently, patient outcomes after CA remain poor. We found that the average ROSC increased, consistent with previous studies reporting rates between 13% and 72%. Breuer-Kaiser et al. showed that although the use of CPR feedback devices is not routine, their use is associated with improved ROSC rates. However, no significant effect was found on ROSC at hospital admission, highlighting the need for further research (Breuer-Kaiser et al., 2024).

One of the main limitations of this study is the relatively small sample size and its conduction within a single clinical setting, which may limit the generalizability of the findings. In the intervention group, adherence to cardiac resuscitation guidelines significantly increased with the use of the CPR meter, which likely reflects the positive impact of this device. However, the possible role of other unmeasured factors in this improvement cannot be entirely ruled out. Future studies should aim to control for these variables and further clarify the precise effect of the device.

Conclusion

The findings of this study highlight the potential benefits of incorporating CPR feedback devices into clinical practice to enhance the quality of resuscitation efforts. By improving adherence to CPR guidelines and supporting timely physiological assessments, these devices can contribute to better patient management during CA. Future research should focus on larger, multicenter trials to confirm these benefits and to evaluate long-term patient outcomes, thereby guiding evidence-based implementation of CPR feedback technology.

Ethical Considerations

Compliance with ethical guidelines

This research was approved by the Ethics Committee of [University of Baghdad](#), Baghdad, Iraq (code: Ref18843, 14.01.2024). The patients' families were informed about the study's aim, the voluntary nature of patient participation, and the right to withdraw from the study at any time. Informed consent was obtained from the legal guardian of each patient before data collection. All steps of this study involving human participants were conducted in accordance with the ethical principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Funding

This research did not receive any grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or non-profit sectors.

Authors' contributions

Conceptualization: Bahman Aghaie, Alice Khachian, Alaa Jawad Kadhim, Ali Khosravi Zad, and Zahra Hezbiyan; Methodology and supervision: Bahman Aghaie, Alice Khachian, and Alaa Jawad Kadhim; Validation: Bahman Aghaie, Alice Khachian, Alaa Jawad Kadhim, Mina Abolfazli, Reza Norouzadeh, and Zahra Hezbiyan; Investigation: Bahman Aghaie, Alice Khachian, Alaa Jawad Kadhim, Mina Abolfazli, and Zahra Hezbiyan; Visualization: Bahman Aghaie, Alice Khachian, Alaa Jawad Kadhim, and Mina Abolfazli; Resources: Reza Norouzadeh; Writing the original draft: Bahman Aghaie, Alice Khachian, Alaa Jawad Kadhim, Mina Abolfazli, and Ali Khosravi Zad; Review and editing: Mina Abolfazli and Reza Norouzadeh; Final approval: All authors.

Conflict of interest

The authors declared no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the participants who took part in this study.

References

- Anderson, M. L., et al., 2014. Rates of cardiopulmonary resuscitation training in the United States. *JAMA Internal Medicine*, 174(2), pp. 194–201. [DOI:10.1001/jamainternmed.2013.11320] [PMID]
- Ayala, U., et al., 2014. Automatic detection of chest compressions for the assessment of CPR-quality parameters. *Resuscitation*, 85(7), pp. 957–63. [DOI:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2014.04.007] [PMID]
- Breuer-Kaiser, A. F. C., et al., 2024. Use of CPR feedback devices to treat out-of-hospital cardiac arrest in Germany: Associated with improved ROSC-rates, but infrequent usage, in a registry-based analysis of 107,548 cases. *Resuscitation*, 110453. [DOI:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2024.110453] [PMID]
- Bul  on, C., et al., 2016. Impact of a feedback device on chest compression quality during extended manikin CPR: A randomized crossover study. *The American Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 34(9), pp. 1754–60. [DOI:10.1016/j.ajem.2016.05.077] [PMID]
- Bul  on, C., et al., 2013. Improvement in chest compression quality using a feedback device (CPRmeter): A simulation randomized crossover study. *The American Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 31(10), pp. 1457–61. [DOI:10.1016/j.ajem.2013.07.029] [PMID]
- Dehghani, H., et al., 2008. The impact of the time elapsed between cardio-pulmonary resuscitation code announcement and start of resuscitation on outcome. *Iran Journal of Nursing*, 21(55), pp. 29–35. [Link]
- Efid, J., 2011. Blocked randomization with randomly selected block sizes. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 8(1), pp. 15–20. [DOI:10.3390/ijerph8010015] [PMID]
- Elshal, M. M., et al., 2021. Plethysmographic peripheral perfusion index: Could it be a new vital sign? *Frontiers in Medicine*, 8, 651909. [DOI:10.3389/fmed.2021.651909]
- GO, A. S., et al., 2013. Heart disease and stroke statistics--2013 update: A report from the American heart association. *Circulation*, 127(1), pp. e6–e245. [DOI:10.1161/CIR.0b013e31828124ad] [PMID]
- Jaberi, Y., Changizian, L. & Mazlomzadeh, S., 2011. Predictors of outcome in in-hospital cardio-pulmonary resuscitation. *Journal of Zanjan University of Medical Sciences and Health Services* 19(75), pp. 48–57. [Link]
- Jung, H. O. & Han, S. W., 2022. Factors associated with return of spontaneous circulation following pre-hospital cardiac arrest in Daegu metropolitan city, South Korea; a cross-sectional study. *Emergency*, 10(1), e72. [DOI:10.22037/aaem.v10i1.1589]

- Katircioglu, K., Ayvat, P. & Gunturkun, F., 2023. Cardiac arrest patients admitted to intensive care unit after cardiopulmonary resuscitation: a retrospective cohort study to find predictors for mortality. *Brazilian Journal of Anesthesiology (Elsevier)*, 73(4), pp. 401–8. [DOI:10.1016/j.bjane.2021.03.013] [PMID]
- Kim, D., et al., 2020. The effect of epinephrine on the perfusion index during ultrasound-guided supraclavicular brachial plexus block: A randomized controlled trial. *Scientific Reports*, 10(1), pp. 11585. [DOI:10.1038/s41598-020-68475-4] [PMID]
- Kovacs, A., et al., 2015. Chest compression release velocity: Association with survival and favorable neurologic outcome after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Resuscitation*, 92, pp. 107–14. [DOI:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2015.04.026] [PMID]
- Krikscionaitiene, A., et al., 2013. Can lightweight rescuers adequately perform CPR according to 2010 resuscitation guideline requirements? *Emergency Medicine Journal : EMJ*, 30(2), pp. 159–60. [DOI:10.1136/emered-2011-200634] [PMID]
- Lampe, J. W., et al., 2020. Towards personalized closed-loop mechanical CPR: A model relating carotid blood flow to chest compression rate and duration. *IEEE Transactions on Bio-Medical Engineering*, 67(5), pp. 1253–62. [DOI:10.1109/TBME.2019.2934682] [PMID]
- Lin, Y., et al., 2025. Use of CPR feedback devices in resuscitation training: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Resuscitation Plus*, 23, pp. 100939. [DOI:10.1016/j.resplu.2025.100939] [PMID]
- Marshall, J. C., et al., 2017. What is an intensive care unit? A report of the task force of the World Federation of Societies of Intensive and Critical Care Medicine. *Journal of Critical Care*, 37, pp. 270–6. [DOI:10.1016/j.jcrc.2016.07.015] [PMID]
- Miller, A. C., et al., 2014. A systematic review and pooled analysis of CPR-associated cardiovascular and thoracic injuries. *Resuscitation*, 85(6), pp. 724–31. [DOI:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2014.01.028] [PMID]
- Morley, P. T., 2007. Monitoring the quality of cardiopulmonary resuscitation. *Current Opinion in Critical Care*, 13(3), pp. 261–7. [DOI:10.1097/MCC.0b013e32814b05bd] [PMID]
- Nolan, J. P., et al., 2019. Cardiac arrest and cardiopulmonary resuscitation outcome reports: update of the utstein resuscitation registry template for in-hospital cardiac arrest: a consensus report from a task force of the international liaison committee on resuscitation (American Heart Association, European Resuscitation Council, Australian and New Zealand Council on Resuscitation, Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada, Inter-American Heart Foundation, Resuscitation Council of Southern Africa, Resuscitation Council of Asia). *Circulation*, 140(18), pp. e746–57. [DOI:10.1161/CIR.0000000000000710] [PMID]
- Peberdy, M. A., Silver, A. & Ornato, J. P., 2009. Effect of caregiver gender, age, and feedback prompts on chest compression rate and depth. *Resuscitation*, 80(10), pp. 1169–74. [DOI:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2009.07.003] [PMID]
- Picard, C., et al., 2021. The clinical effects of CPR meter on chest compression quality: a QI project. *Canadian Journal of Emergency Nursing*, 44, 9–10. [DOI:10.29173/cjen149]
- Pothitakis, C., et al., 2011. Nursing role in monitoring during cardiopulmonary resuscitation and in the peri-arrest period: a review. *Heart & Lung*, 40, pp. 530–44. [DOI:10.1016/j.hrtlng.2010.11.006] [PMID]
- Pozner, C. N., et al., 2011. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation feedback improves the quality of chest compression provided by hospital health care professionals. *The American Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 29, pp. 618–25. [DOI:10.1016/j.ajem.2010.01.008] [PMID]
- Savastano, S., et al., 2021. Post-ROSC peripheral perfusion index discriminates 30-day survival after out-of-hospital cardiac arrest. *Internal and Emergency Medicine*, 16(2), pp. 455–62. [DOI:10.1007/s11739-020-02430-z] [PMID]
- Seethala, R. R., Esposito, E. C. & Abella, B. S., 2010. Approaches to improving cardiac arrest resuscitation performance. *Current Opinion in Critical Care*, 16(3), pp. 196–202. [DOI:10.1097/MCC.0b013e328338c121] [PMID]
- Singh, J., et al., 2022. Peripheral perfusion index as a marker of sepsis in preterm neonates. *Journal of Tropical Pediatrics*, 68(2), pp. fmac014. [DOI:10.1093/tropej/fmac014] [PMID]
- Vahedian-Azimi, A., et al., 2016. Effect of the cardio first angel™ device on CPR indices: A randomized controlled clinical trial. *Crit Care*, 20:147. [DOI:10.1186/s13054-016-1296-3] [PMID]
- Vaillancourt, C., et al., 2011. Performer fatigue and CPR quality comparing 30:2 to 15:2 compression to ventilation ratios in older bystanders: A randomized crossover trial. *Resuscitation*, 82(1), pp. 51–6. [DOI:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2010.09.003] [PMID]
- Vural, M., et al., 2017. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation knowledge among nursing students: A questionnaire study. *The Anatolian Journal of Cardiology*, 17(2), pp. 140–5. [DOI:10.14744/AnatolJCardiol.2016.7156]
- Wang, S. A., et al., 2020. Effects of real-time feedback on cardiopulmonary resuscitation quality on outcomes in adult patients with cardiac arrest: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Resuscitation*, 155, pp. 82–90. [DOI:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2020.07.024] [PMID]
- XU, J., et al., 2021. Pulse oximetry waveform: A non-invasive physiological predictor for the return of spontaneous circulation in cardiac arrest patients --- A multicenter, prospective observational study. *Resuscitation*, 169, pp. 189–97. [DOI:10.1016/j.resuscitation.2021.09.032] [PMID]
- Zajic, P., et al., 2022. Incidence and outcomes of cardiopulmonary resuscitation in ICUs: Retrospective cohort analysis. *Critical Care Medicine*, 50(10), pp. 1503–12. [DOI:10.1097/CCM.0000000000005624] [PMID]